



# Documenting code for your research

Towards reproducibility I

Xavier Sánchez Díaz

February 17, 2022

# Outline

**Motivation**

**Documentation**

**Python tools**

Style guides

Type-hinting

Docstrings

**Is it really worth it?**

**Recap**



# The reproducibility crisis

## Motivation

“Recent studies imply that research presented at top AI conferences is not documented well enough for the research to be reproduced...” (O. E. Gundersen)

# The reproducibility crisis

## Motivation

For a research piece to be trustworthy it needs to be:

- ▶ **Open**

- ▶ Available to everyone
- ▶ Accessible to everyone

- ▶ **Explainable**

- ▶ Via extrinsic explanations (testing)
- ▶ Via intrinsic explanations (documentation)

- ▶ **Reproducible**

- ▶ Clear methodology
- ▶ Dataset available



# The reproducibility crisis

## Motivation

For a research piece to be trustworthy it needs to be:

- ▶ **Open**

- ▶ Available to everyone
- ▶ Accessible to everyone

- ▶ **Explainable**

- ▶ Via extrinsic explanations (testing)
- ▶ Via intrinsic explanations ([documentation](#))

- ▶ **Reproducible**

- ▶ Clear methodology
- ▶ Dataset available

# What is documentation?

## Documentation

**Documentation** in Computer Science usually refers to the collection of **technical** and **detailed** information and specification about a piece of software. It usually includes (but is not limited to):

- ▶ Comments in the code
- ▶ Docstrings
- ▶ Diagrams
- ▶ Use cases
- ▶ Manuals
- ▶ Guides and Tutos



# Levels of documentation

## Documentation

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code



# Levels of documentation

## Documentation

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code
2. Comments in your code





# Levels of documentation

## Documentation

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code
2. Comments in your code
3. Type-hinting



# Levels of documentation

## Documentation

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code
2. Comments in your code
3. Type-hinting
4. Docstrings in your code

# Levels of documentation

## Documentation

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code
2. Comments in your code
3. Type-hinting
4. Docstrings in your code
5. Following style guides for your docstrings

# Levels of documentation

## Documentation

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code
2. Comments in your code
3. Type-hinting
4. Docstrings in your code
5. Following style guides for your docstrings
6. Generate a manual for your software

# Levels of documentation

## Documentation

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code
2. Comments in your code
3. Type-hinting
4. Docstrings in your code
5. Following style guides for your docstrings
6. Generate a manual for your software
7. Unit tests

# Style guides

## Python tools

Python purists have these *Python Enhancements Proposals* (**PEP**) that you are encouraged to follow. The most important are [PEP 0](#), [PEP 8](#) and [PEP 257](#).

### **PEP0**

Index of PEPs

### **PEP8**

Naming conventions, max. line width, spaces between methods, spaces before comments, spaces between operators, order of arguments, etc.

### **PEP257**

Docstrings conventions and recommendations

# Type-hinting

## Python tools

Type-hinting is an incredibly useful addition to Python 3 in which you annotate your code with the datatypes that you expect for functions and variables. So this:

```
1 def somefunc(x,y):  
2     # do some stuff  
3     return x + y
```

Becomes this:

```
1 def somefunc(x: int, y: int) -> int:  
2     # do some stuff  
3     return x + y
```



# Type-hinting

## Python tools

You can also do the same with more complex datatypes, too:

```
1 def somefunc(x: np.ndarray, y: np.ndarray) -> tuple:  
2     # do some stuff  
3     return 2 * x, 3 * y
```



# Docstrings

## Python tools

Docstrings (short for *documentation strings*) are extended summaries of what a piece of code is supposed to do. They can span multiple lines (see PEP257) and are located below the **signature** of function and methods:

```
1 def feasible(ind: ind_type) -> bool:
2     """Define feasibility region for individuals of
3     ind_type. Returns a boolean.
4     """
5     w = weight(ind)
6     feas = False
7
8     if w <= C:
9         feas = True
10    return feas
```

# Stylised docstrings

## Python tools

Of course there are **style guides** for docstrings:

```

1  def cxOnePoint(ind1: ndarray, ind2, R: Random = None) -> tuple:
2      """Performs crossover between two numpy array individuals using
3      one crossover point. The crossover is performed in place.
4
5      Parameters
6      -----
7      ind1 : ndarray
8          First individual to participate in the crossover
9      ind2 : ndarray
10         Second individual to participate in the crossover
11     R : Random, optional
12         Random number generator to set deterministic seed, by default None
13
14     Returns
15     -----
16     tuple of ndarray
17         Tuple of modified individuals
18     """
19     if R is None:
20         R = Random()
21     ind_size = ind1.shape[0]
22     p = R.randint(1, ind_size)
23     ind1[p:], ind2[p:] = ind2[p:].copy(), ind1[p:].copy()
24     return ind1, ind2

```

Is it really worth it?

Is it really worth it?

Yes.

# Your editor recognises the hints

Is it really worth it?

Readability is not the only benefit you get from **properly documenting your code**:

1. **Linters** recognise type hinting and include it the [signatures](#) when looking for help or code definitions.
2. **Docstrings** are shown when using the `help()` function (e.g. in Jupyter)
3. There are **automatic tools** for documentation generation via [docstring](#) extraction
  - ▶ See *Sphinx*, *Javadoc* or *Doxygen*
  - ▶ You can extend these descriptions manually with examples or math
  - ▶ Examples can be used for unit testing!

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)
3. Type-hinting (Highly suggested)



# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)
3. Type-hinting (Highly suggested)
4. Docstrings in your code (Highly suggested)

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)
3. Type-hinting (Highly suggested)
4. Docstrings in your code (Highly suggested)
5. Following style guides for your docstrings (Encouraged)

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)
3. Type-hinting (Highly suggested)
4. Docstrings in your code (Highly suggested)
5. Following style guides for your docstrings (Encouraged)
6. Generate a manual for your software (Encouraged)

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)
3. Type-hinting (Highly suggested)
4. Docstrings in your code (Highly suggested)
5. Following style guides for your docstrings (Encouraged)
6. Generate a manual for your software (Encouraged)
7. Unit tests (Encouraged but complicated)

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)
3. Type-hinting (Highly suggested)
4. Docstrings in your code (Highly suggested)
5. Following style guides for your docstrings (Encouraged)
6. Generate a manual for your software (Encouraged)
7. Unit tests (Encouraged but complicated)

# What can I do then?

## Recap

1. Following style guides/programming principles for your code (PEPs)
2. Comments in your code (Mandatory)
3. Type-hinting (Highly suggested)
4. Docstrings in your code (Highly suggested)
5. Following style guides for your docstrings (Encouraged)
6. Generate a manual for your software (Encouraged)
7. Unit tests (Encouraged but complicated)

# Additional notes

## Recap

- ▶ Julia uses docstrings in Markdown
- ▶ R has markdown docs
- ▶ Jupyter has markdown for you to make executable and explainable code
- ▶ Sphinx can be used for languages other than Python via extensions
- ▶ Javadoc (Java) and Doxygen (Java, C++, PHP...) are good alternatives to Sphinx
- ▶ Git is crucial. GitHub/GitLab wikis are another alternative for code documentation.

# Thank you!

Slides available at

<https://saxarona.github.io/project/python-docs/>